

To: Skophammer, Stephanie[SKOPHAMMER.STEPHANIE@EPA.GOV]
Cc: Foresman, Erin L SPK[Erin.L.Foresman@usace.army.mil]; Vendlinski, Tim[vendlinski.tim@epa.gov]; Banonis, Michelle[mbanonis@usbr.gov]
From: Enos, Cassandra@DWR
Sent: Wed 3/18/2015 9:28:00 PM
Subject: EPA Action Item discussion follow-up
EPA DWR mtg Action Items summary Revised 031815.docx

...

Stephanie – Thanks for taking the time to review and discuss the revised Action Item summary. Per our discussion, I revised the document and attached a clean version. I did follow-up on your question regarding deletion of the following action item:

o A discussion regarding the proposed project relationship to the abundance of LFS relative to existing conditions.

The item was deleted as it was specific to the benefits of the high outflow scenario to LFS in the context of Section 10. However, in the RDEIR/SDEIS we fully intend to include an evaluation of the impact of the proposed project operations on LFS abundance and, if necessary, identify appropriate mitigation measures under CEQA/NEPA.

Hope this is helpful. Please let me know if you have any additional questions/comments.

Thanks, Cassandra

Cassandra Enos-Nobriga

Environmental Management

Bay-Delta Conservation Plan

Department of Water Resources

901 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Office: (916) 651-0178

Mobile: (916) 835-6981